# Research Article Coprime divisors graphs and their coloring parameters

Mohamed Jorf<sup>1</sup>, Brahim Boudine<sup>2,\*</sup>, Lahcen Oukhtite<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Fez, Morocco <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences Dhar El Mahraz, Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Fez, Morocco

(Received: 19 February 2024. Received in revised form: 4 June 2024. Accepted: 3 July 2024. Published online: 15 July 2024.)

© 2024 the authors. This is an open-access article under the CC BY (International 4.0) license (www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

#### Abstract

Let *n* be a composite integer. The coprime divisors graph of *n*, denoted by  $\Gamma_n$ , is the graph whose vertices are the proper divisors of *n* and two vertices are adjacent if they are coprime. In this paper, we study the structure of  $\Gamma_n$  and its coloring parameters. Indeed, we give the explicit forms of the degrees, distances, diameter, and girth of this graph. We also compute explicitly the clique number, the chromatic number, and the independence number of  $\Gamma_n$ . Moreover, we prove that  $\Gamma_n$  is a perfect graph.

Keywords: divisors; perfect graph; chromatic number; independence number.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C69, 05C17, 05C25.

### 1. Introduction

A graph, denoted by G = (V, E), is a structure composed of two fundamental components: a non-empty set of objects called vertices, denoted by  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots\}$ , and a multiset  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots\}$  whose elements are known as edges, where every  $e_i$  (if it exists) is a multiset consisting of two elements of V; namely,  $e_i = \{v_j, v_k\}$ . If V and E are finite, then G is called a finite graph. If  $E = \emptyset$ , then G is called a null graph. An edge  $\{v_i, v_i\}$  in G is called a loop or self-loop. The elements of E having the multiplicity of at least 2 (if they exist) are known as multiple edges. The graph G is said to be simple if it contains neither a loop nor multiple edges.

Algebraic graph theory has become a very active research area in recent decades. It allows to create a connection between algebra and combinatorics. It provides a graphical representation of some algebraic structures and an algebraic modeling of some graphs. In this sense, Anderson and Livingston [3] introduced the zero-divisor graph to study zero-divisors of commutative rings using graphs. Later, several papers were published on the study of zero-divisor graphs; for example, see [1, 2, 7, 10, 11]. Recently, more algebraic structures were studied using graphs; for instance, we refer the reader to the socle of Artinian algebras studied by Neves [9], the clean graph introduced by Habibi et al. [5], the order divisor graphs of finite groups by Rehman et al. [13], and the cozero divisor graph studied by Rather [12].

In this paper, we introduce a new class of graphs associated with some arithmetical properties. Particularly, for any composite integer n, we denote by D(n) the set of proper divisors of n and by  $\Gamma_n$  the coprime divisors graph whose set of vertices is  $V(\Gamma_n) = D(n)$  and two vertices  $x, y \in V(\Gamma_n)$  are adjacent if gcd(x, y) = 1. This work allows us to obtain a graphical representation of the relation between the proper divisors of n in the sense of the coprimenses. We compute explicitly the degrees of vertices, the girth, and the distances between connected vertices of the coprime divisors graph. We also determine explicitly some important coloring parameters of graphs; especially, the independence number, the chromatic number, and the clique number. Furthermore, we prove that  $\Gamma_n$  provides a new class of perfect graphs (see [4]).

#### 2. Results

Let *n* be a positive integer. A positive divisor *d* of *n* is called a proper divisor of *n* if 1 < d < n. We denote by D(n) the set of all proper divisors of *n*.

**Definition 2.1.** The coprime divisors graph of n, denoted by  $\Gamma_n$ , is the graph in which the vertices are the proper divisors of n, and two distinct vertices u and v are adjacent if and only if gcd(u, v) = 1.

**Definition 2.2.** Let *n* be a composite integer and D(n) be its set of proper divisors. Then, the neighborhood set  $V_n(x)$  of a vertex *x* in the graph  $\Gamma_n$  is defined as  $\{y \in D(n) \mid gcd(x, y) = 1\}$ .



<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author (brahimboudine.bb@gmail.com).

Let us denote by  $D_{pr}(n)$  the set of prime divisors of n, and  $\nu(n) := |D_{pr}(n)|$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** Let *n* be a composite integer. Then,  $D_{pr}(n)$  is a clique in  $\Gamma_n$ .

**Proof.** For distinct prime divisors p and q in  $D_{pr}(n)$ , the graph  $\Gamma_n$  has an edge between them since gcd(p,q) = 1. Therefore,  $D_{pr}(n)$  is a clique in  $\Gamma_n$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** Let n be a composite integer and  $d \in D(n)$ . There exists a prime integer  $p \in D(n)$  such that p divides d.

**Proof.** Since *d* is a divisor of *n*, any divisor of *d* is a divisor of *n*. On the other hand, *d* is a proper divisor. Then d > 1; namely, there is a prime integer *p* which divides *d*. Thus,  $p \in D(n)$ .

Let p be a prime integer. Recall that the p-adic valuation of a nonzero integer n is defined as follows:

$$v_p(n) = \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} : p^k \text{ divides } n\}$$

For more details on valuations, we refer the reader to [8]. Let us now compute the degrees of the vertices of  $\Gamma_n$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Let *n* be a composite integer and  $d \in D(n)$ . Then, the degree of *d* in the graph  $\Gamma_n$  is given as follows:

• If 
$$v_p(d) \ge 1$$
, for any  $p \in D_{pr}(n)$ , then  $deg_n(d) = 0$ .

• Otherwise, 
$$deg_n(d) = \prod_{p \in D_{pr}(n)} (v_p(n)\gamma_p(d) + 1) - 1$$
, where  $\gamma_p(d) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v_p(d) \ge 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } v_p(d) = 0 \end{cases}$ 

**Proof.** Let  $d, d' \in D(n)$ . Then, d is adjacent with d' if and only if d and d' are coprime. Equivalently, for any prime integer  $p \in D_{pr}(n)$ , if p divides d then p does not divide d'; namely, if  $v_p(d) \ge 1$  then  $v_p(d') = 0$ . Let  $D_{pr}(d) = \{p \in D_{pr}(n) \mid v_p(d) \ge 1\}$ . Then, the neighborhood of d is obtained as follows:

$$V_n(d) = \{ x \in D(n) \mid v_p(x) = 0, \ \forall p \in D_{pr}(d) \}$$

- Case 1: if  $V_n(d) = \emptyset$ , then  $v_p(d) \ge 1$ , for any  $p \in D_{pr}(n)$ . In this case, we have  $deg_n(d) = |V_n(d)| = 0$ .
- **Case 2:** suppose that  $V_n(d) \neq \emptyset$ . Then, we have

$$V_n(d) = \left\{ \prod_{p \in D_{pr}(n) \setminus D_{pr}(d)} p^{r_p} \mid 0 \le r_p \le v_p(n) \right\} \setminus \{1\}.$$

It follows that

$$deg_n(d) = |V_n(d)| = \prod_{p \in D_{pr}(n) \setminus D_{pr}(d)} (v_p(n) + 1) - 1.$$

Let

$$\gamma_p(d) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v_p(d) \ge 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } v_p(d) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Consequently, we have

$$deg_n(d) = \prod_{p \in D_{pr}(n)} (v_p(n) \cdot \gamma_p(d) + 1) - 1.$$

**Example 2.1.** In the graph  $\Gamma_{30}$  shown in Figure 2.1, we have  $n = 30 = 2 \times 3 \times 5$ ; namely,  $v_2(30) = 1$ ,  $v_3(30) = 1$ , and  $v_5(30) = 1$ . Let d = 3. Then,  $v_2(3) = 0$ ,  $v_3(3) = 1$ , and  $v_5(3) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\gamma_2(3) = 1$ ,  $\gamma_3(3) = 0$ , and  $\gamma_5(3) = 1$ . It follows that

$$deg_{30}(3) = 1 \times 2 \times 2 - 1 = 4 - 1 = 3$$

**Remark 2.1.** Let n be a composite integer and Iso(n) the set of isolated vertices of  $\Gamma_n$ . Then, the size of  $\Gamma_n$  is given as follows:

$$size(\Gamma_n) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d \in D(n) \setminus Iso(n)} deg_n(d).$$

**Corollary 2.1.** Let *n* be a composite integer and Iso(n) the set of isolated vertices in  $\Gamma_n$ . Then, the number of isolated vertices in  $\Gamma_n$  is  $|Iso(n)| = \prod_{p \in D_{pr}(n)} v_p(n) - 1$ .





**Figure 2.1:** The graph  $\Gamma_{30}$ .

**Proof.** Let *d* be a vertex in  $\Gamma_n$ . Then, *d* is isolated if and only if  $deg_n(d) = 0$ . By Theorem 2.1, *d* is isolated if and only if  $v_p(n) \ge 1$  for any  $p \in D_{pr}(n)$ . It follows that the set of isolated vertices can be written as follows:

$$Iso(n) = \left\{ \prod_{p \in D_{pr}(n)} p^{r_p} \mid 1 \le r_p \le v_p(n) \right\} \setminus \{1\}.$$

Thus,  $|Iso(n)| = \prod_{p \in D_{pr}(n)} v_p(n) - 1.$ 

**Remark 2.2.** By the previous proof, we have that  $Iso(n) = \{\prod_{p \in D_{pr}(n)} p^{r_p} \mid 1 \le r_p \le v_p(n)\} \setminus \{1\}$ . Notice that  $\Gamma_n$  is connected if and only if n is squarefree.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let *n* be a composite integer. Let *x* and *y* be two non-isolated vertices of  $\Gamma_n$ . Then, the distance between *x* and *y* is given as follows:

$$d(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } gcd(x,y) = 1, \\ 2 & \text{if } gcd(x,y) > 1 \text{ and there exists } p \in D_{pr}(n) \text{ such that } p \text{ does not divide } xy, \\ 3 & \text{if } gcd(x,y) > 1 \text{ and } p \text{ divides } xy \text{ for any } p \in D_{pr}(n). \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Let *x* and *y* be two non-isolated vertices in  $\Gamma_n$ .

- **Case 1:** suppose that gcd(x, y) = 1. Then, x and y are adjacent; namely, d(x, y) = 1.
- **Case 2:** suppose that gcd(x, y) > 1. Then, x and y are not adjacent; namely, d(x, y) > 1. We distinguish two subcases:
  - Case 2.1: suppose that there exists  $p \in D_{pr}(n)$  such that p does not divide xy. Then, p divides neither x nor y. Since p is prime, we have gcd(x,p) = 1 and gcd(y,p) = 1; namely, x is adjacent with p and y is adjacent with p. Thus, d(x,y) = 2.
  - Case 2.2: suppose that p divides xy for any  $p \in D_{pr}(n)$ . Let  $m = \prod_{p \in D_{pr}(n)} p$ . Since x and y are not isolated, by Corollary 2.1, m does not divide x and m does not divide y. It follows that there exist  $p, q \in D_{pr}(n)$  such that p does not divide x and q does not divide y. Since p and q are prime integers, we have gcd(x,p) = 1 and gcd(y,q) = 1; namely, x is adjacent with p and y is adjacent with q. If  $p \neq q$ , then we get that gcd(p,q) = 1; namely, p is adjacent with q. In this case,  $d(x,y) \leq 3$ . On the other hand, if d(x,y) = 2, then there exists a vertex z adjacent with both x and y; namely, gcd(z,x) = 1 and gcd(z,y) = 1. Let p' be a prime divisor of z, then p' divides neither x nor y; namely, p' does not divide xy. This contradicts our assumption. Thus, if  $p \neq q$ , then d(x,y) = 3. Otherwise, if it is impossible to find such p and q, where  $p \neq q$ , then x and y have the same prime divisors. By our assumption, x and y are divisible by m; namely, they are isolated, which is absurd.

**Corollary 2.2.** Let *n* be a composite integer. Then, the diameter of  $\Gamma_n$  is given as follows:

$$diam(\Gamma_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = p_1 p_2 \text{, where } p_1, p_2 \in D_{pr}(n), \\ 2 & \text{if } n = p_1 p_2 p_3 \text{, where } p_1, p_2, p_3 \in D_{pr}(n), \\ 3 & \text{if } n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r \text{, where } p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r \in D_{pr}(n) \text{ and } r > 3, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Next, we compute the girth of  $\Gamma_n$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** Let n be a composite integer. Then, the girth of  $\Gamma_n$  is given as follows:

$$girth(\Gamma_n) = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } n = p_1^{v_1} p_2^{v_2} \text{, where } p_1, p_2 \in D_{pr}(n) \text{ and } \min(v_1, v_2) \ge 2 \\ 3 & \text{if } \nu(n) \ge 3, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Let  $n = p_1^{v_1} p_2^{v_2}$ , where  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are prime integers and  $\min(v_1, v_2) \ge 2$ . Let x be a non-isolated vertex of  $\Gamma_n$ . Then, either  $x = p_1^r$ , where  $r \le v_1$ , or  $x = p_2^r$ , where  $r \le v_2$ . For example, say that  $x = p_1^r$ , where  $r \le v_1$ . Then,  $V_n(x) = \{p_2^k \mid 0 < k \le v_2\}$ . Notice that, for any element  $y = p_2^s$  in  $V_n(x)$ , we have  $V_n(y) = \{p_1^k \mid 0 < k \le v_1\}$ . Since  $v_1 \ge 2$ , there exists  $u \ne r$  such that  $z = p_1^u \in V_n(y)$ . As well, since  $v_2 \ge 2$ , there exists  $w \ne s$  such that  $t = p_2^w \in V_n(z) = V_n(x)$ . It follows that we get a 4-cycle (x, y, z, t), which is the smallest. Thus,  $girth(\Gamma_n) = 4$ . Suppose now that  $\nu(n) \ge 3$ . then, there exist  $p_1, p_2$  and  $p_3$  three prime divisors of n. This provides a 3-cycle  $(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ . Thus,  $girth(\Gamma_n) = 3$ . In the other cases, we have either  $\nu(n) = 1$  or  $n = p_1^v \cdot p_2$  where  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are two prime integers and  $v \ge 1$ . If  $\nu(n) = 1$ , then the graph  $\Gamma_n$  is empty, then  $girth(\Gamma_n) = +\infty$ . If  $n = p_1^v \cdot p_2$ , then any vertex divisible by  $p_1$  is adjacent only with  $p_2$ , then it is impossible to get a cycle. Thus,  $girth(\Gamma_n) = +\infty$ .

The independence number  $\alpha(G)$  of a graph G is defined as the size of the largest subset  $I \subseteq V(G)$  such that no two vertices in I are adjacent. A subset  $I \subseteq V(G)$  is independent if there is no pair of adjacent vertices in I.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let *n* be a composite integer and  $\mathfrak{p} \in D_{pr}(n)$  such that  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(n) = \max\{v_p(n) \mid p \in D_{pr}(n)\}$ . Then, the independence number of  $\Gamma(n)$  is given as follows:

$$\alpha(n) = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(n) \times \prod_{\substack{p \in D_{pr}(n) \\ p \neq \mathfrak{p}}} (v_p(n) + 1) - 1.$$

#### Proof.

• Step 1. Set  $m = \prod_{p \in D_{pr}(n)} p$ . Let us prove that  $J_q = \{x \in D(m) \mid q \text{ divides } x\}$  is a maximal independent subset of D(m), where  $q \in D_{pr}(n)$ . First, notice that

$$J_q = \left\{ q \times \prod_{p \in D_{pr}(n) \setminus \{q\}} p^{v_p} \mid v_p \in \{0,1\}, \forall p \in D_{pr}(n) \setminus \{q\} \right\} \setminus \{m\}.$$

Then,  $|J_q| = 2^{r-1} - 1$ , where  $r = \nu(n)$ . Suppose that I is an independent subset of D(m) such that  $|I| \ge 2^{r-1}$ . Let  $I' = \{\frac{m}{x} \mid x \in I\}$ . Then, it is easy to see that  $I' \subseteq D(m)$ . Moreover, for any  $p \in D_{pr}(x)$ , we have  $v_p(\frac{m}{x}) = v_p(m) - v_p(x) = 1 - 1 = 0$ , for each  $x \in I$ . Thus,  $gcd(\frac{m}{x}, x) = 1$ , for any  $x \in I$ ; namely, x and  $\frac{m}{x}$  are adjacent. Since I is independent, we get that  $I \cap I' = \emptyset$ . It follows that  $x \in I \mapsto \frac{m}{x} \in I'$  is a one-to-one correspondence between I and I'. So that  $|I'| = |I| \ge 2^{r-1}$ . On the other hand, we have  $I \cup I' \subseteq D(m)$ . Then,  $|I \cup I'| \le |D(m)|$ . Recall that  $|D(m)| = 2^r - 2$ , and since I and I' are disjoint, we have  $|I \cup I'| = |I| + |I'| \ge 2 \times 2^{r-1} = 2^r$ , which contradicts the fact that  $|I \cup I'| \le |D(m)|$ . Hence,  $J_q$  is a maximal independent subset of D(m).

• Step 2. Let  $q \in D_{pr}(n)$  and  $\langle q \rangle$  be a maximal independent subset in D(n) containing q. Let us prove that  $\langle q \rangle = \{x \in D(n) \mid x \text{ is divisible by } q\}$ . If there is  $x \in \langle q \rangle$  such that q does not divide x, then gcd(q, x) = 1 since q is prime. It follows that q and x are adjacent, which contradicts the fact that  $\langle q \rangle$  is independent. Therefore,  $\langle q \rangle \subseteq \{x \in D(n) \mid x \text{ is divisible by } q\}$ . As well,  $|\langle q \rangle| \leq |\{x \in D(n) \mid x \text{ is divisible by } q\}|$ . It suffices now to prove that  $\{x \in D(n) \mid x \text{ is divisible by } q\}$  is independent. Indeed, if  $x, y \in \{x \in D(n) \mid x \text{ is divisible by } q\}$ , then q divides both x and y; namely, x and y are not adjacent. Thus,  $\{x \in D(n) \mid x \text{ is divisible by } q\}$  is independent. Since  $\langle q \rangle$  is a maximal independent subset of D(n) containing q, we get that  $|\langle q \rangle| \geq |\{x \in D(n) \mid x \text{ is divisible by } q\}|$ . Therefore, we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \langle q \rangle \subseteq \{ x \in D(n) \mid x \text{ is divisible by } q \}, \\ |\langle q \rangle| = |\{ x \in D(n) \mid x \text{ is divisible by } q \}|. \end{array} \right.$$

Therefore,  $\langle q \rangle = \{ x \in D(n) \mid x \text{ is divisible by } q \}.$ 

• Step 3. Let A be a subset of D(n). We take  $A_m = A \cap D(m)$ . Also, for any  $x \in A_m$ , we take

$$cov(x) = \left\{ x \times \prod_{p \in D_{pr}(x)} p^{r_p} \mid r_p \in \{0, 1, ..., v_p(n) - 1\}, \text{ for any } p \in D_{pr}(x) \right\}.$$

Let us prove that, for any maximal independent subset A of D(n), there is an independent subset T of D(m) such that  $A_m = T$  and  $A = \bigcup_{x \in A_m} cov(x) \cup Iso(n)$ . Notice that  $A_m$  is independent since it is a subset of A, which is independent. Also,  $A_m$  is a subset of D(m), and hence  $A_m = T$  is an independent subset of D(m). Let  $a \in A$ . Since  $a = \prod_{p \in D_{pr}(a)} p^{v_p(a)}$ , if  $D_{pr}(a) = D_{pr}(n)$ , then  $x \in Iso(n)$ . Suppose that  $D_{pr}(a) \neq D_{pr}(n)$ . Let us prove that  $x = \prod_{p \in D_{pr}(a)} p \in A_m$ . Indeed,  $x \in D(m)$  since  $v_p(x) \leq 1$ , for any  $p \in D_{pr}(n)$ . Moreover, suppose that  $x \notin A$ . Since A is a maximal independent subset of D(n),  $A \cup \{x\}$  is not independent. It follows that x is adjacent with a certain  $y \in A$ ; namely, gcd(x, y) = 1. But, we have  $D_{pr}(x) = D_{pr}(a)$ , then for any  $p \in D_{pr}(a)$ , we have  $p \in D_{pr}(x)$ ; namely,  $v_p(x) \geq 1$ . Since gcd(x, y) = 1, we get that  $v_p(y) = 0$ . Thus, gcd(a, y) = 1; namely, a and y are adjacent. This contradicts the fact that  $a, y \in A$  and A is independent. Therefore,  $a \in cov(x)$ , with  $x \in A_m$ . Thus, we get that  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in A_m} cov(x)$ . On the other hand, let  $x \in A_m$  and  $a \in cov(x)$ . Then,  $a = x \times \prod_{p \in D_{pr}(x)} p^{r_p}$ , where  $r_p \in \{0, 1, ..., v_p(n) - 1\}$ , for any  $p \in D_{pr}(x)$ . Let  $y \in A$ . Since A is independent, we get that y is not adjacent with x; namely, there is a prime  $p \in D_{pr}(x)$ , which divides y. Notice that  $D_{pr}(x) = D_{pr}(a)$ . Then,  $p \in D_{pr}(a)$  and divides y. Therefore, a is not adjacent with y, for any  $y \in A$ . If  $a \notin A$ , we get that  $A \cup \{a\}$  is independent, which contradicts the fact that A is maximal. Therefore,  $a \in A$ . Hence,  $A = \bigcup_{x \in A_m} cov(x) \cup Iso(n)$ .

• Step 4. Since  $J_q$  are maximal independent subsets of D(m), we get a maximal independent subset I of D(n) of the form  $I = \bigcup_{x \in J_q} cov(x) \cup Iso(n)$ , for some  $q \in D_{pr}(n)$ . As well, since  $q \in J_q = I_m$ , we get that I contains q; namely,  $I = \langle q \rangle$ . By Step 2, we have  $\langle q \rangle = \{x \in D(n) \mid x \text{ is divisible by } q\}$ , for any  $q \in D_{pr}(n)$ . It follows that

$$\langle q \rangle = \left\{ q \times \prod_{p \in D_{pr}(n)} p^{s_p} \mid 0 \le s_q \le v_q(n) - 1, 0 \le s_p \le v_p(n), \forall p \in D_{pr}(n) \setminus \{q\} \right\} \setminus \{n\}.$$

Then,

$$|\langle q \rangle| = v_q(n) \times \prod_{\substack{p \in D_{pr}(n) \\ p \neq q}} (v_p(n) + 1) - 1$$

It follows that the independence number of  $\Gamma_n$  is

$$\alpha(n) = \max\left\{ v_q(n) \times \prod_{\substack{p \in D_{pr}(n) \\ p \neq q}} (v_p(n) + 1) - 1 \mid q \in D_{pr}(n) \right\}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in D_{pr}(n)$  such that  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(n) = \max\{v_p(n) \mid p \in D_{pr}(n)\}$ . Then, for any  $q \in D_{pr}(n)$ , we have:

$$\begin{split} |\langle \mathfrak{p} \rangle| - |\langle q \rangle| &= \left( v_{\mathfrak{p}}(n) \times \prod_{\substack{p \in D_{pr}(n) \\ p \neq \mathfrak{p}}} (v_p(n) + 1) - 1 \right) - \left( v_q(n) \times \prod_{\substack{p \in D_{pr}(n) \\ p \neq \mathfrak{q}}} (v_p(n) + 1) - 1 \right), \\ &= \prod_{\substack{p \in D_{pr}(n) \\ p \notin \{\mathfrak{p}, q\}}} (v_p(n) + 1) \times \left( v_{\mathfrak{p}}(n) (v_q(n) + 1) - v_q(n) (v_{\mathfrak{p}}(n) + 1) \right), \\ &= \prod_{\substack{p \in D_{pr}(n) \\ p \notin \{\mathfrak{p}, q\}}} (v_p(n) + 1) \times \left( v_{\mathfrak{p}}(n) - v_q(n) \right). \end{split}$$

Since  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(n) = \max\{v_p(n) \mid p \in D_{pr}(n)\}$ , we get that  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(n) - v_q(n) \ge 0$ ; namely,  $|\langle \mathfrak{p} \rangle| - |\langle q \rangle| \ge 0$ . Thus,

$$|\langle \mathfrak{p} \rangle| = \max\{|\langle p \rangle \mid p \in D_{pr}(n)\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\alpha(n) = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(n) \times \prod_{\substack{p \in D_{pr}(n) \\ p \neq \mathfrak{p}}} (v_p(n) + 1) - 1.$$

**Remark 2.3.** By the proof of Theorem 2.3, the set  $\{x \in D(n) \mid x \text{ is divisible by } p\}$  is a maximal independent subset of D(n).

**Example 2.2.** Let  $n = 2^3 \times 3 \times 5 = 120$ . We have  $v_2(n) = 3$  and  $v_3(n) = v_5(n) = 1$ , then  $\mathfrak{p} = 2$ . It follows that  $\alpha(n) = 3 \times 2 \times 2 = 12$ . Furthermore, we have  $\langle 2 \rangle = \{2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 20, 24, 30, 40, 48, 60\}$  is a maximal independent subset of D(120).

Next, we compute the chromatic number and the clique number of  $\Gamma_n$ , and prove that  $\Gamma_n$  is perfect.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let n be a composite integer. Then, the chromatic number of  $\Gamma_n$  is the number of prime divisors of n; namely,

$$\chi(\Gamma_n) = \nu(n).$$

**Proof.** By Proposition 2.1,  $D_{pr}(n)$  forms a clique in  $\Gamma_n$ . Hence, we have

$$\chi(\Gamma_n) \ge \nu(n). \tag{1}$$

On the other hand, suppose that  $D_{pr}(n) = \{p_1, p_2, ..., p_r\}$ , where  $r = \nu(n)$ . Then, for any  $j \in \{1, 2, ..., r\}$ , we define the set  $M_{p_j}$  as follows:

$$M_{p_j}(n) = \left\{ d \in D\left(\prod_{i=j}^r p_i^{v_{p_i}(n)}\right) \mid p_j \text{ divides } d \right\}.$$

• Step 1. Let us prove that the family  $(M_p(n))_{p \in D_{pr}(n)}$  is a partition of the set D(n).

- Let us prove that  $D(n) = \bigcup_{p \in D_{pr}(n)} M_p(n)$ . It is obvious that  $\bigcup_{p \in D_{pr}(n)} M_p(n) \subseteq D(n)$ . Let  $d \in D(n)$  and  $j = \min\{i \in \{1, 2, ..., r\} \mid p_i \text{ divides } d\}$ . Then,  $d \in D\left(\prod_{i=j}^r p_i^{v_{p_i}(n)}\right)$  and  $p_j$  divides d; namely,  $d \in M_{p_j}(n)$ . Therefore, we get that

$$D(n) = \bigcup_{p \in D_{pr}(n)} M_p(n).$$

- Let us prove that  $M_{p_k}(n) \cap M_{p_j}(n) = \emptyset$ , where k < j. Let  $d \in M_{p_j}(n)$ . Then,  $d \in D\left(\prod_{i=j}^r p_i^{v_{p_i}(n)}\right)$ ; namely, d divides  $\prod_{i=j}^r p_i^{v_{p_i}(n)}$ . It follows that  $v_{p_k}(d) \le v_{p_k}\left(\prod_{i=j}^r p_i^{v_{p_i}(n)}\right) = 0$ . So that  $v_{p_k}(d) = 0$ . Then,  $p_k$  does not divide d. Consequently,  $d \notin M_{p_k}(n)$ . Thus,

$$M_{p_k}(n) \cap M_{p_i}(n) = \emptyset$$

Therefore, the family  $(M_p(n))_{p \in D_{pr}(n)}$  is a partition of the set D(n).

Step 2. Let p ∈ D<sub>pr</sub>(n). Notice that if d, d' ∈ M<sub>p</sub>(n) such that d ≠ d', then d and d' are not adjacent since they are both divisible by p. As a result, each M<sub>p</sub>(n) can be assigned a unique distinct color, and the total number of colors used will be at least ν(n). Since (M<sub>p</sub>(n))<sub>p∈D<sub>pr</sub>(n)</sub> is a partition of the set D(n), we get that all the graph has been colored using ν(n) colors, where any two vertices colored with the same color are not adjacent. Equivalently, χ(Γ<sub>n</sub>) ≤ ν(n). Therefore, by (1), we have χ(Γ<sub>n</sub>) = ν(n).

Notice that the proof of the previous result describes also the coloring strategy. Let us now prove that  $\Gamma_n$  is perfect.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let *n* be a composite integer. Then, the graph  $\Gamma_n$  is perfect.

**Proof.** Let G be a subgraph of  $\Gamma_n$  and V(G) the set of vertices of G. If |V(G)| = 1, then it is obvious that  $\chi(G) = \omega(G) = 1$ . Suppose that |V(G)| = 2; namely,  $V(G) = \{x, y\}$ , for some  $x, y \in D(n)$ .

If x and y are coprime, then x and y are adjacent. It follows that  $\omega(G) = \chi(G) = 2$ .

If x and y are not coprime, then x and y are not adjacent. It follows that  $\omega(G) = \chi(G) = 1$ .

By induction, suppose that  $\chi(G) = \omega(G)$ , for any subgraph G of  $\Gamma_n$  such that |V(G)| < |D(n)|. Let  $v \in D(n) \setminus V(G)$ , and  $G' = G \cup \{v\}$ . Let us prove that  $\chi(G') = \omega(G')$ . We distinguish it into two cases:

- Case 1: suppose that there exists a maximal clique C of G such that v is adjacent with x, for any  $x \in C$ . In this case, it is obvious that  $C \cup \{v\}$  forms a maximal clique of G'. Therefore,  $\omega(G') = \omega(G) + 1$ . On the other hand, since  $\omega(G) = \chi(G)$  and v is adjacent with every other vertex of C, the vertex v should be colored with a new color; namely,  $\chi(G') = \chi(G) + 1$ . Thus,  $\chi(G') = \omega(G')$ .
- Case 2: suppose that, for any maximal clique C of G, there exists  $x \in C$  such that v is not adjacent with x. In this case,  $\omega(G) = \omega(G')$ . On the other hand, there is a prime integer p, which divides both v and x. Then, we can associate to v the same color of  $M_p(n)$ . So we get that  $\chi(G') = \chi(G)$ . Thus,  $\chi(G') = \omega(G')$ .

We conclude that  $\Gamma_n$  is perfect.

Now, we get also the clique number of  $\Gamma_n$ .

**Corollary 2.3.** Let *n* be a composite integer. Then,  $\omega(\Gamma_n) = \nu(n)$ .

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.5, we have  $\chi(\Gamma_n) = \omega(\Gamma_n)$ . Also, by Theorem 2.4, we have  $\chi(\Gamma_n) = \nu(n)$ . Therefore,  $\omega(\Gamma_n) = \nu(n)$ .

Now, from the Perfect Graph Theorem [6], the next result follows.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let n be a composite integer. Then, the complement graph of  $\Gamma_n$  is perfect.

### Acknowledgment

We thank the editor and reviewers for their precious comments that enhanced our paper.

## References

- [1] S. Akbari, A. Mohammadian, On the zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring, J. Algebra 274 (2004) 847-855.
- [2] D. F. Anderson, A. Badawi, On the zero-divisor graph of a ring, Comm. Algebra 36(8) (2008) 3073–3092.
- [3] D. F. Anderson, P. S. Livingston, The zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring, J. Algebra 217 (1999) 434–447.
- [4] F. Bonomo-Braberman, G. Durán, M. D. Safe, A. K. Wagler, On some graph classes related to perfect graphs: A survey, Discrete Appl. Math. 281 (2020) 42-60.
- [5] M. Habibi, E. Y. Çelıkel, C. Abdıoğlu, Clean graph of a ring, J. Algebra Appl. 20(9) (2021) #2150156.
- [6] L. Lovász, Normal hypergraphs and the perfect graph conjecture, Discrete Math. 2(3) (1972) 253-267.
- [7] A. Mukhtar, R. Murtaza, S. U. Rehman, S. Usman, A. Q. Baig, Computing the size of zero divisor graphs, J. Inform. Optim. Sci. 41(4) (2020) 855-864.
- [8] J. Neukirch, Algebraic Number Theory, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [9] J. Neves, On the socle of Artinian algebras associated with graphs, J. Algebraic Combin. 58 (2023) 1331–1347.
- [10] S. Pirzada, M. Aijaz, M. I. Bhat, On zero divisor graphs of the rings  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , Afr. Mat. **31(3-4)** (2020) 727–737.
- [11] S. Pirzada, B. A. Rather, M. Aijaz, T. A. Chishti, On distance signless Laplacian spectrum of graphs and spectrum of zero divisor graphs of Z<sub>n</sub>, Linear Multilinear Algebra 70(17) (2022) 3354–3369.
- [12] B. A. Rather, Independent domination polynomial for the cozero divisor graph of the ring of integers modulo *n*, Discrete Math. Lett. **13** (2024) 36–43.
- [13] S. U. Rehman, A. Q. Baig, M. Imran, Z. U. Khan, Order divisor graphs of finite groups, An. Stiint. Univ. Ovidius Constanța Ser. Mat. 26(3) (2018) 29–40.